



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,050	07/22/2003	Kunio Yoshinaga 10873.725USD1 109		1095	
7	590 06/02/2004		EXAMINER		
Attn: Douglas			GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N		
P.O. Box 2903	& GOULD P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Minneapolis, M	MN 55402-0903		2125	*	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

_		1			
	Applicant(s)	plication No.			
<del>-</del> .	YOSHINAGA ET AL.	)/625,050		0.00	
	Art Unit	aminer	ary	Office Action Sumi	
	2125	yprakash N Gandhi			
ress	the correspondence address	on the cover sheet w	communication	The MAILING DATE of this or Reply	Period fo
nmunication.	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communic	In no event, however, may a n the statutory minimum of thi oly and will expire SIX (6) MO e the application to become A	MMUNICATIO provisions of 37 CFR f this communication. an thirty (30) days, a aximum statutory per od for reply will, by sta e months after the ma	MAILING DATE OF THIS Consions of time may be available under the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date a period for reply specified above is less of period for reply is specified above, the ure to reply within the set or extended pereply received by the Office later than the departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	THE N - Extending after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re
			•	<b></b>	Status
		003	n(s) filed on 22	Responsive to communicat	1)[🖂
		on is non-final.		This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
nerits is	s, prosecution as to the merit		•		′
nonto lo				closed in accordance with t	
	.,			ion of Claims	
		tion.	pendina in the	Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 9-11</u> is/are	
				4a) Of the above claim(s)	
				Claim(s) <u>5,6,9 and 10</u> is/are	
				Claim(s) 10 is/are rejected.	
			ed to	Claim(s) is/are object	•
		ction requirement.		Claim(s) are subject	
				ion Papers	pplication
			n by the Exam	The specification is objected	<u> </u>
	the Everniner	d or h) objected to	-	The drawing(s) filed on	
				Applicant may not request that	
0.1.101/41	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	- · ·			
` '	ffice Action or form PTO-152	•	=		
			·	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	9(a)-(d) or (f).	rity under 35 U.S.C.	a claim for forei	Acknowledgment is made of	<u> </u>
	., ., .,	•		⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No	
		e been received.	priority docume	1. Certified copies of the	•
	ication No. <u>09/87</u> 0,103.		-	2. Certified copies of the	;
tage	ceived in this National Stage				
- 5 -			•		
	eived.	• • •			* Se
		7.5 1. p 4. 1100			
				<b>4-1</b>	Haabee
	mary (PTO 412)	A) Intervious		` '	,
	nary (P10-413) ail Date		eview (PTO-948)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
52)	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Notice of I		nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT	) 🔯 Informa
		6) [_] Other:		No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/22/03</u> .	Paper l
					D-4: : :=
05282004	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0528	ummary	Office		Patent and Trac OL-326 (Rev
	mary (PTO-413) ail Date	e certified copies not  4)  Interview S Paper No(	ee action for a li	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Attachment(: )  Notice () Notice ()  Notice

Art Unit: 2125

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Lines 24-25, "and an apparatus----input portion" is not clear as to which operation section portion applicant is guiding to.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 11 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 6 of U. S. Patent No. 6,629,005 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Art Unit: 2125

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A blood circuit system, a unit section, a unit component display portion, a unit component selection portion and an assembly drawing.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5, 6, 9 and 10 have been allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N Gandhi whose telephone number is 703-305-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 (Mon. - Thu.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Art Unit: 2125

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

jng